Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/764,739	CONNELLY, MICHAEL P.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Christopher H. Bond	3714		

	Christopher H. Bohd	37 14		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) <u>Christopher H. Bond</u> .	(3) <u>John Dahl</u> .			
(2) John Hotaling (Primary Examiner).	(4) Michael Blankstein.			
Date of Interview: 26 July 2007.				
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: 1,19,37.				
Identification of prior art discussed: Koenig et. al. USPAT 6,729,618.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	! /A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement	was	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no c allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
		•		
	JOHN M. HOTAL PRIMARY EXAM	ING, II IINER		
•				
		1		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an				
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20070604

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Representatives discussed briefly how the claimed invention was different than the prior art of record-specifically that the claimed invention played concurrent audio tracks independent of player/operator intervention. Amendments have as of yet not been submitted. Examiner awaits amendments before any other consideration or decisions can be made. Currently, the examiner did not agree that the proposed amendments to the claims would overcome the current prior art of record. After a subsequent search the examiner will consider all applicant's arguments and amendments upon formal submission. No agreement was reached on patentability..